

QUALITIES

—*the absence of any one of which is not intended to be disqualifying*—

- legal experience, breadth and depth of experience
- professional skills, writing ability, scholarship
- communication skills (oral and written)
- intellectual capacity
- integrity, honesty
- industry
- impartiality, freedom from bias
- commitment to equal justice
- judgment
- judicial temperament, patience, decisiveness
- collegiality
- job-related health
- community respect
- distinction in the profession

Court of Appeal candidates are expected to have the qualities of collegiality, writing ability, and scholarship.

Supreme Court candidates are expected to have the qualities of collegiality, writing ability, scholarship, distinction in the profession, and breadth and depth of experience.

RATINGS

Exceptionally Well Qualified = candidates possessing qualities and attributes of *remarkable or extraordinary superiority* that enable them to perform appellate judicial functions with distinction.

Well Qualified = candidates possessing qualities and attributes indicative of a *superior fitness* to perform appellate judicial functions with a high degree of skill, effectiveness, and distinction.

Qualified = candidates possessing qualities and attributes *sufficient* to perform appellate judicial functions with a high degree of skill and effectiveness.

Not Qualified = candidates possessing *less than the minimum qualities* and attributes required.